


# Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

#16



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, July 13, 2015 9:44:32 AM

**Last Modified:** Wednesday, July 15, 2015 9:44:23 AM

**Time Spent:** Over a day

**IP Address:** 155.109.5.23

## PAGE 1: Contact Information

### Q1: Please provide your contact information

Name	Michael Sole
Company	Florida Power & Light
Address	215 South Monroe St
Address 2	Suite 810
City/Town	Tallahassee
State/Province	Florida
ZIP/Postal Code	32301
Country	USA
Email Address	michael.sole@fpl.com
Phone Number	850 228 2489

## PAGE 2: Section I: Goals & Objectives for the Florida State Expenditure Plan

### Q2: What is the single most important outcome that you would like to see as a result of the Florida State Expenditure Plan?

A State Expenditure Plan that leverages existing Restore and non-Restore Act projects to maximize environmental and economic gains for Florida

### Q3: What is the second most important outcome you would like the Florida State Expenditure Plan to achieve?

A thoughtful process that demonstrates collaboration and consensus that addresses both local and statewide needs

## Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

### Q4: How strongly do you agree that the Council's goals apply in Florida?

Restore and Conserve Habitat	Agree
Restore Water Quality	Strongly Agree
Replenish and Protect Living Coastal and Marine Resources	Agree
Enhance Community Resilience	Agree
Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy	Strongly Agree

### Q5: Please rank in order of priority the emphasis that the Consortium should place on the Council's goal in order of importance.

Restore and Conserve Habitat.	3
Restore Water Quality.	1
Replenish and Protect Living Coastal and Marine Resources.	4
Enhance Community Resilience.	5
Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy.	2

### Q6: How strongly do you agree that when applied in Florida, the five Council goals express all the goals that should apply to the Florida State Expenditure Plan?

(no label) Strongly Agree

### Q7: Do you have additional goals to suggest for the Florida plan? If yes, please provide it/them here.

Public Access

Q8: Do you feel that any of the Council's goals should be excluded from a list of Florida-specific goals? Check any that apply, that you would exclude for Florida:

Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes.

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

**Q9: How strongly do you agree that each of the Council's objectives apply in Florida?**

1. Restore, Enhance, and Protect Habitats	Agree
2. Restore, Improve, and Protect Water Resources	Strongly Agree
3. Protect and Restore Living Coastal and Marine Resources	Agree
4. Restore and Enhance Natural Processes and Shorelines	Agree
5. Promote Community Resilience	Agree
6. Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education	Neutral
7. Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes	Disagree

**Q10: How strongly do you agree that when applied in Florida, the seven Council objectives express all the objectives that should apply to the Florida State Expenditure Plan?**

(no label)	Agree
------------	-------

**Q11: How would you rank the Councils' objectives as they would apply to the Consortium's objectives?**

Restore, Enhance, and Protect Habitats.	2
Restore, Improve, and Protect Water Resources.	1
Protect and Restore Living Coastal and Marine Resources.	4
Restore and Enhance Natural Processes and Shorelines	3
Promote Community Resilience.	5
Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education.	6
Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes.	7

**Q12: Do you have additional objectives to suggest? If so, please write them here:** *Respondent skipped this question*

**Q13: Do you feel that any of the Council's objectives should be excluded from a list of Florida-specific objectives? Check any that apply, that you would exclude for Florida:** Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes.

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

<b>Q14: From what kind of projects should the most long-term benefits for Florida be derived?</b>	The economic- and environmentally-related projects are equally beneficial.
<b>Q15: How strongly do you agree that projects should be rated on their own merits, without different prioritization for economic versus environmental restoration activities?</b>	
(no label)	Agree
<b>Q16: How strongly do you feel that some environmental and/or economic restoration activities should be funded in the Florida State Expenditure Plan?</b>	
Environmental Restoration	Neutral
Economic Restoration	Neutral
<b>Q17: How strongly do you agree that all funded restoration activities should have some environmental and/or economic benefit?</b>	
Environmental Benefit	Strongly Agree
Economic Benefit	Strongly Agree
<b>Q18: How strongly do you agree that restoration activities with environmental benefits are a priority over projects with purely economic benefits or economic benefits are a priority over projects with purely environmental benefits?</b>	
Environmental benefits higher priority than economic benefits	Neutral
Economic benefits higher priority than environmental benefits	Neutral
Priority should be placed equally on environmental and economic benefits	Agree
<b>Q19: How strongly do you agree that a pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to restoration activities with environmental and/or economic benefits?</b>	
Pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to restoration activities with environmental benefits	Neutral
Pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to restoration activities with economic benefits	Neutral
<b>Q20: If a pre-set percentage of funds was established for economic and environmental restoration activities, how would you partition the funding? Pick one (economic /environmental).</b>	No pre-set partition between economic and environmental restoration activities.

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

**Q21: How strongly do you agree that geographic distribution should be considered during restoration activity prioritization?**

(no label)

Agree

**Q22: How strongly do you agree that restoration activities be evaluated based purely on their economic and environmental benefits, without consideration of their location?**

(no label)

Neutral

**Q23: If a geographic consideration was applied, on which geographic basis would you pick? Choose an item.**

(no label)

Disproportionately versus proportionally affected counties.

**Q24: How strongly do you agree that the Florida State Expenditure Plan should follow the Pot 1 distribution approach and allocate 75% of the monies to the 8 disproportionately affected counties and the remaining 25% to areas in the remaining 15 counties?**

(no label)

Neutral

**Q25: What do you think would be the fairest approach if a geographic criterion was applied? Pick one.**

The disproportionately affected 8 Panhandle counties should receive a greater share of the total available funding than the other 15 counties.

**Q26: How strongly do you agree that at least one restoration activity should be funded in each coastal watershed or county?**

Coastal Watershed

Agree

Coastal County

Agree

**Q27: How strongly do you agree that it is a good idea to allocate a portion of the funding to achieve a geographic distribution of restoration activities?**

(no label)

Agree

**Q28: If a portion of funding was designated to achieve a geographic distribution of restoration activities, what percentage of funding would you allocate to geographic distribution? The remaining funds would be based on restoration activity merit, without geographic consideration. Pick one (geographic/restoration activity merit).**

50% geographic and 50% environmental/economic

## Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

**Q29: If you had \$100 to spend on projects in the Florida State Expenditure Plan, what approach would you use to distribute the monies? Would you spend part on economic restoration activities and part on environmental restoration activities? Would you make sure each watershed or county received some funding or not? Tell us how you would spend your \$100.**

Economic restoration	20
Environmental restoration	20
Coastal Watershed	10
Coastal County	10
Disproportionately impacted 8 counties	25
The remaining 15 counties	15

---

**Q30: Please prioritize the criteria that should be considered when assessing a project:**

The project meets the Council's goals and objectives	1
The project has environmental benefits	2
The project has economic benefits	3
The project is in a coastal watershed	5
The project is in a coastal county	6
The project is in one of the disproportionately impacted counties	4