

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Friday, July 31, 2015 11:00:17 AM **Last Modified:** Friday, July 31, 2015 11:19:08 AM

Time Spent: 00:18:51 IP Address: 174.236.0.168

PAGE 1: Contact Information

Q1: Please provide your contact information

Name Alan Pierce

Company Franklin County Commission

Address 34 Forbes St.
City/Town Apalachicola

State/Province FL
ZIP/Postal Code 32320
Country US

Email Address alanp@fairpoint.net
Phone Number 850-653-5727 (cell)

PAGE 2: Section I: Goals & Objectives for the Florida State Expenditure Plan

Q2: What is the single most important outcome that you would like to see as a result of the Florida State Expenditure Plan?

Replenish and protect Living Coastal and Marine Resources

Q3: What is the second most important outcome you would like the Florida State Expenditure Plan to achieve?

Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy

Q4: How strongly do you agree that the Council's goals apply in Florida?

Restore and Conserve Habitat Strongly Agree

Restore Water Quality Strongly Agree

Replenish and Protect Living Coastal and Marine Strongly Agree

Resources

Enhance Community Resilience Agree

Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy Strongly Agree

| Q5: Please rank in order of priority the emphasis that the Consortium should place on the Council's | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|
| goal in order of importance. | | |
| Restore and Conserve Habitat. | 3 | |
| Restore Water Quality. | 4 | |
| Replenish and Protect Living Coastal and Marine Resources. | 1 | |
| Enhance Community Resilience. | 5 | |
| Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy. | 2 | |
| Q6: How strongly do you agree that when applied in Florida, the five Council goals express all the goals that should apply to the Florida State Expenditure Plan? | | |
| (no label) | Agree | |
| Q7: Do you have additional goals to suggest for the Florida plan? If yes, please provide it/them here. | Respondent skipped this question | |
| Q8: Do you feel that any of the Council's goals should be excluded from a list of Florida-specific goals? Check any that apply, that you would exclude for Florida: | No goals should be excluded | |
| Q9: How strongly do you agree that each of the Council's objectives apply in Florida? | | |
| 1. Restore, Enhance, and Protect Habitats | Strongly Agree | |
| 2. Restore, Improve, and Protect Water Resources | Agree | |
| 3. Protect and Restore Living Coastal and Marine Resources | Strongly Agree | |
| 4. Restore and Enhance Natural Processes and Shorelines | Agree | |
| 5. Promote Community Resilience | Neutral | |
| 6. Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education | Agree | |
| 7. Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes | Agree | |
| Q10: How strongly do you agree that when applied in Florida, the seven Council objectives express all the objectives that should apply to the Florida State Expenditure Plan? | | |
| (no label) | Agree | |

| Q11: How would you rank the Councils' objectives as they would apply to the Consortium's objectives? | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| Restore, Enhance, and Protect Habitats. | 2 | |
| Restore, Improve, and Protect Water Resources. | 3 | |
| Protect and Restore Living Coastal and Marine Resources. | 1 | |
| Restore and Enhance Natural Processes and Shorelines | 4 | |
| Promote Community Resilience. | 7 | |
| Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education. | 5 | |
| Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes. | 6 | |
| Q12: Do you have additional objectives to suggest? If so, please write them here: | Respondent skipped this question | |
| Q13: Do you feel that any of the Council's objectives should be excluded from a list of Florida-specific objectives? Check any that apply, that you would exclude for Florida: | No objectives should be excluded. | |

PAGE 3: Section 2: Partitioning Economic and Environmental Benefits

| Q14: From what kind of projects should long-term benefits for Florida be derived | | |
|--|----------------|--|
| Q15: How strongly do you agree that projects should be rated on their own merits, without different prioritization for economic versus environmental restoration activities? | | |
| (no label) | Neutral | |
| Q16: How strongly do you feel that some environmental and/or economic restoration activities should be funded in the Florida State Expenditure Plan? | | |
| Environmental Restoration | Strongly Agree | |
| Economic Restoration | Strongly Agree | |

Q17: How strongly do you agree that all funded restoration activities should have some environmental and/or economic benefit?

Environmental Benefit Strongly Agree

Economic Benefit Strongly Agree

Q18: How strongly do you agree that restoration activities with environmental benefits are a priority over projects with purely economic benefits or economic benefits are a priority over projects with purely environmental benefits?

Environmental benefits higher priority than economic

benefits

Strongly Agree

Economic benefits higher priority than

environmental benefits

Agree

Priority should be placed equally on environmental

and economic benefits

Agree

Q19: How strongly do you agree that a pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to restoration activities with environmental and/or economic benefits?

Pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to restoration activities with environmental benefits

Agree

Pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to restoration activities with economic benefits

Agree

Q20: If a pre-set percentage of funds was established for economic and environmental restoration activities, how would you partition the funding? Pick one (economic /environmental).

25% economic and 75% environmental

PAGE 4: Section 3: Geographic Distribution of Projects

Q21: How strongly do you agree that geographic distribution should be considered during restoration activity prioritization?

(no label) Strongly Agree

Q22: How strongly do you agree that restoration activities be evaluated based purely on their economic and environmental benefits, without consideration of their location?

(no label) Disagree

Q23: If a geographic consideration was applied, on which geographic basis would you pick? Choose an item.

(no label) Disproportionately versus proportionally

affected counties.

Q24: How strongly do you agree that the Florida State Expenditure Plan should follow the Pot 1 distribution approach and allocate 75% of the monies to the 8 disproportionately affected counties and the remaining 25% to areas in the remaining 15 counties?

| (no label) | Strongly Agree |
|---|---|
| Q25: What do you think would be the fairest approach if a geographic criterion was applied? Pick one. | The disproportionately affected 8 Panhandle counties should receive a greater share of the total available funding than the other 15 counties |
| Q26: How strongly do you agree that at least one rescoastal watershed or county? | storation activity should be funded in each |
| Coastal Watershed | Strongly Agree |
| Coastal County | Strongly Agree |
| Q27: How strongly do you agree that it is a good ide a geographic distribution of restoration activities? | a to allocate a portion of the funding to achieve |
| (no label) | Agree |
| Q28: If a portion of funding was designated to achieve a geographic distribution of restoration activities, what percentage of funding would you allocate to geographic distribution? The remaining funds would be based on restoration activity merit, without geographic consideration. Pick one (geographic/restoration activity merit). | 50% geographic and 50% environmental/economic |

PAGE 5: Section 4: Overall Approaches to Goals & Funding

Q29: If you had \$100 to spend on projects in the Florida State Expenditure Plan, what approach would you use to distribute the monies? Would you spend part on economic restoration activities and part on environmental restoration activities? Would you make sure each watershed or county received some funding or not? Tell us how you would spend your \$100.

| Economic restoration | 15 | |
|--|----|--|
| Environmental restoration | 15 | |
| Coastal Watershed | 10 | |
| Coastal County | 10 | |
| Disproportionately impacted 8 counties | 40 | |
| The remaining 15 counties | 10 | |
| | | |

| Q30: Please prioritize the criteria that should be considered when assessing a project: | | |
|---|---|--|
| The project meets the Council's goals and objectives | 1 | |
| The project has environmental benefits | 3 | |
| The project has economic benefits | 4 | |
| The project is in a coastal watershed | 5 | |
| The project is in a coastal county | 6 | |
| The project is in one of the disproportionately impacted counties | 2 | |
| | | |